

I have a Dumont handheld FM radio receiver; it is a type DH300, Model N33H, from Hammarlund Mfg. Co., Inc. in Marshall, NC. I've written to that company, but I didn't get an answer. Can anyone help me find a schematic diagram and any other information on that receiver?

*Ernest Wurfer  
741 San Diego Ave.  
Sunnyvale, CA 94086*

Perhaps you can help me. I just recently got my hands on a Lewytt RC77/GRC9 U.S. Army Corps Field Radio with no manual. It's a transceiver tube-type radio; I'm not sure how old it is. I'm looking for the correct operating voltage, and, of course, a manual for it.

*Andrew Bowlby  
4700 Highland Ave.  
Downers Grove, IL 60515*

## CHECK YOUR FACTS

Having just purchased my first copy of **Popular Electronics**, I can truthfully say that it seems to be a good publication for anyone who enjoys working or playing in electronics.

I'm writing to point out some information that is inaccurate due to the omission of some data. "FactCard 85," concerning the 4514 IC, states in "Features" that the 4514 is a "Plug-in replacement for MC14514,

MC14515." That is far from the truth. To make the data complete and true, it should include the 4515. That is because, according to specs, when the chip is selected the output of the 4514 goes HIGH and when the 4515 is selected the output goes LOW. It's something like saying that they are the same breed, but different sexes.

*C.R.D.  
Aurora, CO*

## A SIZABLE MISTAKE

While building the "Speech Processor" from the October 1988 issue of **Hands-on Electronics**, I discovered that the board size is wrong. First, I made a transparent copy at  $5^{3/4}$ -inches as the article said. Following the parts-placement diagram, I aligned each chip over the corresponding chip diagrams. But my copy—that complied with the directions in the article—was too big! I reduced the size from  $5^{3/4}$ -inches to  $4^{5/8}$ -inches, and everything lined up correctly.

*S.P.  
Chicago, IL*

*You are correct. Due to a reproduction error, the board that was prepared for publication, and measured for the article, was roughly 20% oversized. The proper dimension is indeed  $4^{5/8}$  inches.* ■