## Design flaw in Ultrasonic Anti-fouling circuit

I worked at Electronics Anstralia in the early 1960 with LoS Simpson and the rest of the team. Recently, when loaking through the September 2016 Sissue of SURZON CEUP, I saw that a reader had writhen in with an Ultrasonic Anti-Pouling unit (SIX.CON CUP, September & November 2010) blowing fusos. I was aked to troubleshoot a Jayare kit of this project some time ago with an identifiad problem and discovered a design flow.

After checking the assembly of the kit I powered up the first part of the circuit (5V supply and micro) and found it worked as par the article. I then powcred up the second part (Masfels) from a second (current limited) supply and found this worked as well. I then removed the second supply, fitted a fuse, and provered the entite project from a 12V, 3A limited supply. At turn-on the unit theid to draw a large current and would have blown the fuse if not for the current limiting.

After some investigation the cause because clear. At powers of the voltage at both Mosfet gates rose to almost 3V for 40ms, turning them both on address drawing a large current. After this, the micro woke up and took control, driving the outputs low and turning off the Masfets. Fitting 100K2 resistors between the gates of both Musfets and GND facross the protection zener diodes) holds the gates law thring power up and prevents the Mosfets



turning on simultaneously and drawing large corrects.

It's standard procedure to pull comtrol signals from a micro to known, states during power-up and while the processor is reset. Unfortunately, this non was missed. I expect there is the usual variation in Mosfet gate threshold voltages and this would have a sigmificant effect on the size of the current spike and which the the subs Hew.

When I was looking at the problem I noticed there was a second design (Ultrasonic Cleaner, August 2010) that used a similar circuit and probably has the same issue.

Jeff Skeen,

Cherrybroak, NSW.

Comment: We have had a close look at the circuit and we blink your analysis is correct but fitting the gate pull down resistors will not necessarily cure the problem. Although in the specific case mentioned in the September 2010 issue, it probably would be the solution.

Considering that the micro's output states are uncontrolled before poweron reset, the voltage at the Moglet gates can rise to around 3% as you say. The mechanism is due to the voltage divider from the 12<sup>N</sup> supply formed by the Moglet damin-gate capacitance (typically 270pF) and the gate-source capacitance (typically 1.20F). The capacitance of the reverse-biassed diodes D1 & D2 would add to this effect.

Gate pull-down resistors would fix the problem but we are inclined to specify 10kΩ rather than 100kΩ.

However, note that much of the initial surge current is due to the large low-ESR supply bypass capation. This was proved in the development of a later commercial version of the design, which had gold pull-down resistors.

We also note that this problem has been relatively rare, considering the large numbers of this unit which have been built over the last six years.